

Using Noun Class Information to Model Selectional Preferences for Translating Prepositions in SMT

Marion Weller^{1,2}, Sabine Schulte im Walde¹, Alexander Fraser²

¹University of Stuttgart, ²Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich

1. Motivation & Introduction

- Translating prepositions is difficult in SMT
- ⇒ Reproduce the preposition's **meaning in the input sentence**
- ⇒ Take into account **target-side context**
- Some prepositions **convey a meaning** → straightforward translation
 - ▶ *to sit* UNDER/ON *the table*
- Some prepositions are **functional** → largely depend on target-language restrictions
 - ▶ *to believe* IN *something*
- Prepositions are typically determined by a **governor**
 - ▶ Verbs: *to believe* IN *sth.*
 - ▶ Nouns: *an interest* IN *sth.*
- Additionally, prepositions can depend on the **class of nouns** that are governed
 - ▶ *to learn* FROM [*a person*] → *lernen* VON [*einer Person*]
 - ▶ *to learn* FROM [*the past*] → *lernen* AUS [*der Vergangenheit*]
- We enrich an EN-DE **string-to-tree SMT system** with **noun class information** to model **selectional preferences**

2. Modeling Selectional Preferences

Methodology

- Annotating noun class information into the **parse trees** used to train a syntax-based SMT system
 - `to learn from [NP] → lernen von [NPPerson]`
 - `→ lernen aus [NPAbstract]`
- The enriched translation rules are restricted to those of a **specific semantic class** appropriate for a given context
- Using noun class information to obtain **more precise translation rules** that incorporate **selectional preferences**
- Aims at introducing a **semantic level** into SMT

Noun class information

- Three variants of noun class information
 - Classes** induced from the **lexical resource GermaNet**
 - ⇒ Conceptually refined target-language information
 - Cluster analyses** based on **window information**
 - Cluster analyses** based on **syntactic features**
 - ⇒ Generalization over contexts (in “raw” form or based on syntactic structures): take into account more target-language information
- Comparing **resource-based** and **distributional information**

PP rule generation

- Semantically fine-grained information might lead to a loss of generalization
- Make **generic rules** accessible to the system
- Generate new PP rules** that are not accessible to the baseline in order to cover all functional prepositions

3. Obtaining Noun Class Information

- Pre-processing: **identification of named entities**
 - ▶ Consistent distinction of named entities and common nouns
 - ▶ Named entities classified into *organization, location, person, rest*
- Pre-processing: **compound handling**
 - ▶ Noun compounding is very productive: **compound-splitting**
 - ▶ Compounds are added into classes based on their head nouns

GermaNet

- Lexical-semantic taxonomy that groups words of the same concept into synsets (→ WordNet)
- Look up the GermaNet class for a given hierarchical level

Clustering

- Standard k-Means implementation in R
- What number of clusters provides
 - ▶ A **good representation of the nouns**
 - ▶ An **optimal level of abstraction** for the SMT system?
- Varying cluster sizes: 10 - 300 clusters
- Clustering based on **window information**
 - ▶ Content words from a window of 10 words to each side of the noun
 - ⇒ Often results in “topic-like” clusters
- Clustering based on **syntactically-motivated features**
 - ▶ Prepositions governing the target nouns **P**
 - ▶ Verbs subcategorizing the target nouns **VO**
 - ▶ Verbs governing the target nouns in a prepositional phrase **VPN**
 - ▶ Nouns governing the target nouns in a prepositional phrase **NPN**
- ⇒ Using **subcategorization criteria** aims at obtaining classes that provide salient information for modeling the choice of prepositions

4. Annotation of PP-nodes and NP-nodes of the Target-Side Parse Trees

```
<tree=s>
  <tree=adjd> wirtschaftlich </tree>
  <tree=vafin-haben> hat </tree>
  <tree=np-LOC>
    <tree=ne-LOC> malaysia </tree>
  </tree>
  <tree=vp>
    <tree=pp-von-167>
      <tree=prp-von-167> von </tree>
      <tree=pposat> seinen </tree>
      <tree=nn-167> nachbarn </tree>
    </tree>
    <tree=vvpp> gelernt </tree>
  </tree>
</tree>
```

economically, Malaysia has learned from its neighbors.

```
<tree=s>
  <tree=kous> dass </tree>
  <tree=np-180>
    <tree=art> die </tree>
    <tree=nn-180> amerikaner </tree>
  </tree>
  <tree=vp>
    <tree=pp-aus-291>
      <tree=prp-aus-291> aus </tree>
      <tree=art> der </tree>
      <tree=nn-291> vergangenheit </tree>
    </tree>
    <tree=vvpp> gelernt </tree>
  </tree>
  <tree=vafin-haben> hätten </tree>
</tree>
```

that the Americans had learned from the past.

5. Using Noun Class Information in SMT

- Create two variants for the translation of **learned from NN**
 - VP → **PP-von-167** gelernt
 - VP → **PP-aus-291** gelernt
- Nouns of the classes 167 (*person*) and 291 (*abstract concept*) are appropriate fillers for the PPs

Back-off strategies

- Add baseline rules (rules without annotation) **BL**
- No annotation for rules based on low-frequency source-target pairs ($f \leq 5$) **BL+cutoff**

Generating new PP rules

- Not all **potentially necessary rules** might be available
- Provide **the full possible set of rules containing functional prepositions** (i.e. prepositions with little or no meaning)
- Create new rules for a set of 17 functional prepositions
- Translation probabilities** for new rules: based on **co-occurrence frequencies** extracted from large corpora

original rule (target-side)	prob.
VP → [pp-von-166] lernen , [s]	1

new PP rules (target-side)	prob.	pnv-tuple	freq
VP → [pp-aus-166] lernen , [s]	0.159	<i>aus nn-166 lernen</i>	38
VP → [pp-für-166] lernen , [s]	0.021	<i>für nn-166 lernen</i>	5
VP → [pp-in-166] lernen , [s]	0.126	<i>in nn-166 lernen</i>	30
VP → [pp-mit-166] lernen , [s]	0.021	<i>mit nn-166 lernen</i>	5
VP → [pp-von-166] lernen , [s]	0.336	<i>von nn-166 lernen</i>	80
VP → [pp-über-166] lernen , [s]	0.336	<i>über nn-166 lernen</i>	80

- Adding generated rules **new rules**
- Adding both back-off and new rules **BO+new**

6. Experiments

- String-to-tree Moses system with GHKM extraction
- Morphology-aware translation system allows to explicitly model **portmanteau prepositions**
- SMT system: 1.5M parallel sentences (Europarl + news)
- Feature extraction: additional 44M sentences (web data)

System	BLEU	System	BLEU
Baseline	13.95	Window10	14.01
GermaNet-2 (25)	13.93	Window50	14.18
GermaNet-3 (79)	13.77	Window75	13.69
GermaNet-4 (175)	13.67	Window100	14.13
GermaNet-5 (392)	13.67	Window300	13.71

Syntactic features	P	VO	VPN	NPN
100 classes	13.85	13.85	13.79	13.71
50 classes	13.84	14.06	14.06	13.91

System	BL+cutoff	BL	new rules	BL+new rules
Window50	13.95	13.99	14.11	13.98
Window75	14.16	13.96	13.66	14.01
Window100	14.01	13.94	14.14	14.02

7. Discussion & Conclusion

- None of the systems is better than the baseline
- Manual evaluation** of correctly translated prepositions: little difference between the systems
- No systematic behaviour or types of prepositions that are translated better or worse across the systems
- Particularly difficult: prepositions with a **predominant literal meaning** in an infrequent **subcategorized context**
 - EN *for example, Germany has been criticized for passivity*
 - DE *beispielsweise, Deutschland *für Passivität kritisiert worden*
 - REF *wegen Passivität wurde zum Beispiel Deutschland kritisiert*
- Context-dependent interaction** of being a functional or content-bearing preposition, importance of involved noun classes: not well-captured by **inflexible annotation method**
- Noun class annotation into parse trees → **hard constraint**
- Compensate for overly specific rules with **non-annotated rules** and **rules synthesized from monolingual data**
- No generally applicable level of semantic information: **rigid annotation** → rules of the **same degree of specificity**
- Results demonstrate that
 - ▶ Clustering based on window co-occurrence seems to be more robust than syntax-based clusters or GermaNet ⇒ **Resources**
 - ▶ Parse tree annotation is not flexible enough to take into account varying needs of different contexts ⇒ **Integration method**
- Idea for future work: combine **distributional** (→ *robust, coverage*) and **resource-based information** (→ *high quality*) to obtain salient information on selectional preferences